



RECEIVED

2005 AUG 19 AM 10:36

T.R.A. DOCKET ROOM

August 18, 2005

VIA – UPS Overnight

The Honorable Ron Jones, Chairman  
c/o Shara Dillon, Docket Manager  
TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY  
460 James Robertson Parkway  
Nashville, TN 37243-0505

Dear Chairman Jones,

Attached is the letter for Section 254(e) Certification of TDS Telecom companies to receive USF Disbursements for Year 2006 and Schedule A detailing the amounts of capital improvements made and expenses incurred in 2004

Please contact me at (865) 671-4753 should you have any questions

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Bruce Mottern".

Bruce H. Mottern  
Director, Revenue & Earnings

Enclosures

PO BOX 22995  
KNOXVILLE, TN 37933-0995  
9737 COGDILL ROAD SUITE 230  
KNOXVILLE, TN 37932  
TELEPHONE 865 966 4700  
FAX 865 675 3881



RECEIVED

August 18, 2005

2005 AUG 19 AM 10:36

T.R.A. DOCKET ROOM

The Honorable Ron Jones, Chairman  
c/o Shara Dillon, Docket Manager  
TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY  
460 James Robertson Parkway  
Nashville, Tennessee 37243-0505

Re Concord Telephone Exchange Self-Certification of Eligibility to Receive Universal Service Funds for Fiscal Year 2006, Docket No 05-00194

Dear Chairman Jones

This letter is submitted on behalf of Concord Telephone Exchange, an independent rural Tennessee telephone company (the "Company"). The purpose of this letter is to request that, consistent with the August 8, 2005 letter from Ms. Darlene Standley, Chief, Telecommunications Divisions, and pursuant to 47 C.F.R. §54.314 of the rules of the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC"), the Tennessee Regulatory Authority (the "TRA") certify to the Universal Service Administrative Company ("USAC") and to the FCC that the Independent is eligible to continue to receive federal high cost support in calendar year 2006.

In compliance with Ms. Standley's correspondence, and for the reasons stated below, the Company hereby certifies that all federal high cost support, including high cost loop support, local switching support, high cost support received pursuant to the purchase of exchanges, high cost model support, and hold harmless support, that the Company is eligible to receive will be used only for the provision, maintenance, and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended, consistent with 47 U.S.C. §254(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. Also in accordance with Ms. Standley's correspondence, the Company attaches information regarding the amount of federal Universal Service Fund ("USF") it received for Fiscal Year 2004 (Attachment A), and how those funds were allocated.

As discussed below, the needed certification is the result of FCC action and is required by October 1, 2005. In the absence of the certification, a rural telephone company would be deprived of its federal support for universal service at least through the first quarter of 2006. Because of this potential adverse impact on the Independent, its customers, and Tennessee, the Independent respectfully requests the TRA issue this certification, which is consistent with all lawful requirements, the past practice and procedure of the TRA, and the public interest.

## INTRODUCTION

The need for the above-described certification by the TRA arises as a result of the FCC's action in its docket, *In the Matter of Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, Fourteenth Report and Order, Twenty-Second Order on Reconsideration, and Further Notice of*

P.O. BOX 22995  
KNOXVILLE, TN 37933-0995

9737 COGDILL ROAD, SUITE 230  
KNOXVILLE, TN 37932

TELEPHONE 865 966 4700  
FAX 865 675 3881

The Honorable Ron Jones, Chairman  
TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY  
August 18, 2005  
Page 2

*Proposed Rulemaking in CC Docket No 96-45 and Report and Order in CC Docket No 00-256, CC Docket No 96-45/CC Docket No 00-256, 16 FCC Rcd 11244 (2001) ("FCC Order")*

Specifically, the FCC adopted a requirement codified in 47 C F R §54.314 that State Commissions must file an annual certification with the USAC and the FCC stating "that all federal high-cost support provided to such carriers [rural incumbent local exchange carriers and competitive carriers classified as eligible telecommunications carriers serving lines in an area of a rural incumbent local exchange carrier] will be used only for the provision, maintenance and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended." The FCC did not specify a mechanism under which that certification might be accomplished. Rather, the FCC left it open for the State Commissions and the carriers to develop an appropriate mechanism, and the TRA has done just that.

In previous years, the TRA has properly relied on the submission of the Company that demonstrated the method by which it received federal Universal Service Fund ("USF") disbursements. This demonstration, which is also provided below, fully satisfies the Company's obligation to demonstrate that its federal USF disbursements are used in a manner provided for in Section 254(e) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended,<sup>1</sup> and Section 54.314 of the FCC's rules.

Accordingly, developing this request for certification, the Company has compiled information regarding the extent to which checks and balances currently exist governing the Company and all other interstate "average schedule" rural incumbent local exchange carriers receiving universal service support. The operation of these processes, as described in the "Background" section below, ensure that the USF directed to the Independent is both properly quantified and utilized in accordance with the provision and maintenance of the facilities and services for which the federal USF is intended.

## **BACKGROUND**

The federal USF disbursements received by the Independent and other rural incumbent local exchange companies that are the subject of this certification are divided into two categories: Local Switching Support ("LSS"), and High Cost Loop Support ("HCLS"). The FCC in conjunction with the Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service has created each of these mechanisms. This means that representatives from State Commissions have also been involved in the development of these mechanisms through their representation in the Joint Board process.

LSS rules established by the FCC use the embedded costs of the rural ILECs associated with switching investments, depreciation, maintenance, expenses, taxes and an FCC established rate of return. Again, this is based upon certified cost studies submitted by each rural ILEC and reviewed by NECA or FCC-prescribed average schedule formulae. This amount is used to offset the rural ILECs' interstate switching revenue requirement. The Honorable Debra Taylor Tate, Chairman

---

<sup>1</sup> Section 254(e) of the Act states that a carrier that receives federal USF "shall use that support only for the provision, maintenance, and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended."

The Honorable Ron Jones, Chairman  
TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY  
August 18, 2005  
Page 3

difference between that revenue requirement, again as set forth in the company's annual interstate cost study, makes up the switching rate which is charged to interexchange carriers

The HCLS for rural ILECs is based upon each company's embedded, unseparated loop costs or application of the average schedule formulae. These costs are calculated using a set of complex algorithms approved by the FCC, the inputs for which are scrutinized by NECA. Pursuant to the FCC Order, safety net additive support is support above the HCLS cap for carriers that make significant investment in rural infrastructure in years in which HCLS is capped. To receive safety net additive support, a rural carrier must show that growth in telecommunications plant in service (TPIS) per line is at least 14 percent greater than the study area's TPIS in the prior year. Carriers seeking to qualify for safety net additive support must provide written notice to USAC that a study area meets the 14 percent TPIS trigger.

All of these programs are administered through the USAC. USAC, as a private, not-for-profit corporation, is responsible for the administration of the federal USF that is established to provide every state and territory of the United States with access to affordable telecommunications service through the federal USF. USAC has contracted with NECA to assist in data collection necessary for the remittance of universal service funds. What this means is that each company submits, no less frequently than annually, detailed information requested by NECA in the USF data collection process. USF data used in the USF calculations by NECA must also be filed with the FCC in October of each year. This data contains the regulated financial inputs into the algorithm as well as the number of loops that will receive universal service support.

**CONCORD TELEPHONE EXCHANGE RESPECTFULLY SUBMITS THAT IT  
QUALIFIES FOR THE NEEDED FOR §54.314 CERTIFICATION**

The Company respectfully submits that, given the number and nature of the checks and balances already in place, the TRA may lawfully and appropriately rely upon this self-certification by the Company. The requirements, procedures, and processes to which the Company adheres, as set forth above, provide the necessary and sufficient basis for the TRA to provide its certification to USAC and the FCC, and to thereby ensure that the Company and its customers will not be deprived of the USF funding to which the Company and its customers are entitled pursuant to all applicable rules and regulations. Essentially, under the existing rules and processes discussed above, the federal USF disbursements received by the Company and other incumbent rural telephone companies are, in fact, an integral part of the rural ILEC's recovery of expenditures incurred in the provision, maintenance and upgrading of its provision of universal service.

In many respects, the required certification process is very similar to the self-certifications that were involved in the designation of the rural ILECs as eligible telecommunications carriers ("ETCs") in the initial instance. The TRA, consistent with the practices of other state utility authorities throughout the nation, utilized this process in the initial designation of ETCs, thereby fulfilling its right, as established by the Telecommunications Act of 1996, to designate a carrier as an ETC.

Accordingly, the grant of this request for certification is consistent with past practice and procedure. The very same procedure and process undertaken by the TRA that is valid for designation of the Company to be eligible to receive funds is also rationally valid as the basis for the necessary certification that the Company *will* use those funds, once received, for the purposes for which they are intended. Again, for the Company and other rural ILECs, there are processes and safety mechanisms in place that include audits of the information that is submitted to USAC and FCC to ensure that the basis for the calculation of the funds is appropriate.

The proposed grant of certification for the Company, as requested herein, is also consistent with the certification mechanism the FCC has established for carriers that are not regulated by state commissions. The FCC in the FCC Order set out a self-certification mechanism for those carriers (tribal entities and others that are not regulated by state commissions). See 16 FCC Rcd at 11318 (para 189). The Company, as discussed above, represents to the TRA that it adheres to and complies with all required processes, and that its expenditures of USF funds will be consistent with the applicable rules and foster the provision of facilities and services for which the funding is intended. This representation and affirmation by the Company to the TRA is consistent with that required by the FCC with respect to certification of a carrier not subject to the authority of the State.

### **CONCLUSION**

The need to respond to the FCC's certification requirement in a timely and efficient manner is critical to the Company and other rural incumbent telephone companies, their customers and the public interest in general. Pursuant to the FCC's rules, if certification is not accomplished by October 1, 2005, then support for universal service in the areas served by the Company and other rural carriers within the State of Tennessee will not be provided for at least the first quarter of 2006. The resulting need to address the company's revenue deficiencies is otherwise unnecessary provided that timely certification is achieved.

The Honorable Ron Jones, Chairman  
TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY  
August 18, 2005  
Page 5

The Company provides the representation and affirmation set forth above, together with the background information in support of the certification request and that attached, in order to assist the TRA in the timely implementation of the FCC's certification requirement in a manner that is consistent with all applicable rules and regulations, the TRA's past practices and procedures, and the public interest. Accordingly, the Company respectfully requests that the TRA afford this request for certification pursuant to §54.314 of the FCC's rules and regulations expedited consideration and grant.

Sincerely,

*Kevin Hess w/ permission  
Bruce Mott*

Kevin Hess  
Vice President – Federal Affairs

Attachment

**TDS TELECOM**  
**CONCORD TELEPHONE EXCHANGE**

**UNIVERSAL SERVICE FUND REVENUES RECORDED DURING 2004**

		<u>Amount</u>
Total USF Recorded in 2004	HCL	\$ -
	LSS	887,142 00
	LTS	49,968 00
	ICLS	552,534 00
		<u>\$ 1,489,644 00</u>

Source General Ledger, HCL Account 5082100, LSS and LTS embedded in 508 22, ICLS embedded in Acct 5082 10

**CAPITAL ADDITIONS RECORDED IN 2004**

	<u>Additions</u>
General Support Assets	\$ 137,659 00
Central Office Assets	975,528 00
Cable & Wire Facilities	1,353,068 00
Total Capital Additions Recorded in 2004	<u>\$ 2,466,255 00</u>

Source 2004 Tennessee Regulatory Authority Annual Report, Page 4

**OPERATING EXPENSES**

	<u>2004</u>
Network Support Expense	\$ 30,084 00
General Support Expense	292,110
Central Office Switching	145,028
Central Office Transmission	243,808
Cable & Wire Facilities	657,640
Network Operations	1,340,146
Depreciation and Amortization	4,394,984
Customer Operations	2,309,037
Corporate Operating	2,656,524
Operating Other Taxes	2,843,579
Total Operating Expenses	<u>\$ 14,912,940 00</u>

Source - 2004 TRA Annual Report, Pages 9,10 and 11